Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,686	KONDO, NOBUHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>DANIEL F. HAJNIK</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Ari Akmal (#51,388)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 May 2009</u>	Time: <u>9 <i>AM</i></u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner proposed amendment to put the case in condition for allowance and applicant agreed to such changes			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Peter-Anthony Pappas/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	